RESEARCH ETHICS GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK

Introduction

1. The purpose of this document is to promote awareness of ethical principles and ethical

issues, clarify the rights and obligations of the staff and student body at University of Suffolk, and

to outline the ethical framework for their consideration.

2. This framework applies to all subject areas and to all members of staff and students

involvedin research at University of Suffolk including its staff and students conducting research

outsidethe University as well as any persons not employed by the University but with permission

to carry out research with the University. This Framework has been designed to encourage good

conduct in research, assist researchers to meet legal and ethical requirements and help prevent

research misconduct.

3. The principal ethical consideration should be to ensure the maximum benefit of the

research whilst minimising the risk of actual or potential harm. Ethical procedures should seek to

protect, as far as possible, all groups involved in research including participants, researchers and

research teams, non-academic collaborative researchers (and organisations), funders and the

wider public, throughout the lifecycle of the research. The research lifecycle includes the planning

stage,

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

Owner: PVC Research

g. Be aware of and adhere to regulations and policies related to their research.

h. Keep clear, accurate records of all research in ways that will allow verification and

replication of their work by others.

i. Share data and findings openly and promptly as soon as they have had an opportunity to

establish priority and ownership claims.

j. Take responsibility for their contributions to all publications, funding applications, reports,

and other representations of their research.

k. Acknowledge in publications the names and roles of those who made significant

contributions to their research.

I. Disclose financial and other conflicts of interest that could compromise the trustworthiness

of their work in research proposals, publications, and public communications.

m. Limit professional comments to their recognised expertise when engaged in public

discussions about the application and importance of your research findings and

distinguish professional comments from opinions based on personal views.

n. Report to the appropriate authorities any suspected research misconduct, including

fabrication, falsification or plagiarism, and other irresponsible research practices that

undermine the trustworthiness of their research.

Ethical Framework for conducting research with humans and animals.

5. The following ethical principles govern research with humans:

a. The principle of respect for persons acknowledges the dignity and autonomy of individuals

and requires that people with diminished autonomy be provided with special protection.

This principle requires that participants give informed consent to participation in research.

Because of their potential vulnerability, certain populations are provided with additional

protections. These include children, prisoners, and vulnerable adults.

b. The principle of beneficence requires us to protect individuals by seeking to maximise

anticipated benefits and minimise possible harms. It is therefore necessary to carefully

examine the design of the study and its risks and benefits including, in some cases,

identifying alternative ways of obtaining the benefits sought from the research. Research

risks must always be justified by the expected benefits of research.

c. The principle of justice requires that we treat participants fairly. For example, participants

should be carefully and equitably chosen to ensure that certain individuals or classes of

individuals - such as prisoners, elderly people, or financially impoverished people - are not

systematically selected or excluded, unless there are academically or ethically valid

reasons for doing so. Unless there is careful justification for an exception, research should

reasons for doing so. Chiese there is said fur justification for all exception, research should

also not involve persons from groups that are unlikely to benefit from subsequent

applications of the research.

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

6. Each of these principles carries strong moral force, and difficult ethical dilemmas arise

when they conflict. A careful and thoughtful application of the principles will not always achieve

clear resolution of ethical problems. However, it is important to understand and apply the

principles, because doing so helps to assure that people who agree to be research participants

will be treated in a respectful and ethical manner. Nothing that is said in these principles and

guidelines will absolve the responsibility of the researcher to act in accordance with the best

interests of the participants.

7. These principles are to apply to research with human participants. They are intended to

provide both the general principles and rules to cover situally bencountered by researchers.

They have as a primary aim, the welfare and protection of the individual and protection and protection and protection of the individual and protection an

researchers work. It is the individual responsibility of each researcher to aspire to the highest

possible standards of conduct in carrying out research.

8. Researchers should respect and protect human and civil rights. Some areas of

experience and behaviour will be outside the reach of research for ethical reasons. These

guidelines havebeen adapted from the ethical guidelines of a variety of professional and other

bodies involvedin conducting research with participants. Research with a separate organisation

will be dealt with by the relevant organisations' procedures,

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

Owner: PVC Research

responsibility for the approval of all research conducted at the University of Suffolk. This

responsibility is subdelegated to Schools for all undergraduate and postgraduate taught student

research, which may in turn devolve responsibility for approval as appropriate while retaining

overall oversight of the process.

12. The schools should include an external lay member in the review of documentation and the

discussion of ethical issues.

13. In all cases of research, whether conducted by staff or students at the University of Suffolk,

approval must be obtained prior to the commencement of the research from the relevant approval

body.

14 Where research also requires approval from an outside body, for example, an NHS

Research Ethics Committee, the research proposal shall be submitted for approval to such

bodies. This will normally take place once it has been approved through the University of Suffolk

procedures.

15. The schools will report to the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee and include

asummary of their actions in relation to research ethics and any issues for consideration by the

University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee.

16. Where significant changes are subsequently made to a project it is the responsibility of

staff members to ensure that further ethical review is sought. In the case of student projects, it

is the responsibility of the student to bring changes to the attention of their supervisor and then

(if required) the relevant review committee.

17. In all cases researchers must consider the ethical implications of their research and the

personal consequences for the participants in that research. In conducting research, researchers

should interfere with the participants or context from which data are collected onlyin a manner that

is warranted by an appropriate research design and that is consistent with researchers' roles as

academic researchers.

18. Researchers should recognise in terms of the participants that in a multicultural and multi-

ethnic society with diverse religious belief and value systems, where investigations involve

individuals of different ages, gender and social background, researchers may not have enough

knowledge of the implications of any investigation for the participants.

Research Ethics Governance Framework

Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

Owner: PVC Research

Page 4 of 17

Sanctions

19. Any deliberate or negligent breach of the University Ethics Policy, whether through omission, misdirection or fraud is a serious disciplinary matter. Significant breaches of this policy will be investigated under the relevant academic conduct regulations. Where an investigation finds that a breach has indeed occurred then the University will (in line with its contractual responsibilities) inform any relevant funders or professional associations. Additionally, where a breach concerns a staff member substantively employed elsewhere the University may pass the factual details of the case to the primary employer (this is specifically relevant to Clinical Staff with honorary/associate contracts).

Research potentially requiring ethical approval.

- 20. The following potentially requires ethical approval
 - a. Potentially vulnerable people, for example children and young people, those with a learning disability or cognitive impairment, or potentially vulnerable individuals in a dependent or unequal relationship.
 - b. People who lack capacity to make decisions or who during the research project come to lack capacity. Such research should be reviewed by an appropriate body operating under the Medical Capacity Act 2019.
 - c. Potentially sensitive topics, for example participants' sexual behaviour, illegal or political behaviour, experience of violence, abuse or exploitation, mental health, their personal or familylives, or their gender or ethnic status. Elite interviews may also fall into this category.
 - d. Deceased persons. Researchers should adhere to relevant legislation e.g., Human Tissue Act 2004 <u>Human Tissue Authority</u> and to the relevant NHS policy requirements for REC reviews.
 - e. Administrative or controlled data. Appropriate approval within the relevant governance regime(s) is needed for use of these datasets. In many cases a light-touch review confirmingthat researchers have met these requirements will be enough. Issues however may arise whendata are linked and where it may be possible to identify participants.
 - f. Individuals or groups where permission of a gatekeeper is normally required for initial or continued access to participants. This includes research involving gatekeepers such as adult professionals (e.g. those working with children or the elderly), or research in communities (in the UK or overseas) where access to research participants is not possible without the permission of another adult, such as another family member (e.g. the parent or husband of the participant) or a community leader, and research where participants are in a dependent relationship with the gatekeeper (e.g. employees recruited through their workplace). Permission for access to other Ethical Framework for conducting research with humans and animals may also need to be requested from a data producer who controls

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024) Owner: PVC Research

Research Ethics Governance Framework Page 5 of 17

access to the group.

g. Justified deception or research conducted without participants' valid and informed consent at the time the study is carried out. It is recognised that there are occasions when the use of covert research methods is necessary and justifiable, and consent may need to be managedat a point beyond the completion of research fieldwork.

h. Access to records of personal or sensitive confidential information, including genetic or other biological information, concerning identifiable individuals.

i. Intrusive interventions or data collection methods, for example the administration of substances; vigorous physical exercise; or techniques where participants are persuaded to reveal information which they would not otherwise disclose during everyday life. Also, researchwhich would or might induce psychological stress, anxiety, or humiliation, or cause more thanminimal distress.

j. Risk to the safety of the researcher, for example researchers working in the field and international research assistants

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024) Owner: PVC Research

- a. Choice: The researcher should always provide participants with clear choices about the content of their work including the right to withdraw from part or all of project activities.
- b. Creativity: Creativity is the essence of participatory photography projects. The creative space needs to be protected and respected for projects to flourish.
- c. Partnership: The researcher understands their participants needs; can provide ongoing support to participants throughout the project; and that is committed to the participatory process.
- d. Cultural Sensitivity: Ensure that all projects are culturally sensitive and appropriate (trained photographer to use locally relevant images; use culturally sensitive codes of behaviour and language in workshops; and be sensitive to local customs around image content and image taking).
- e. Ownership: Many projects culminate in a public or targeted exhibition of participanpad(in)Tj7ar7ar0 Tc

faonficestbeshioo

7

7

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024) Owner: PVC Research



Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024) Owner: PVC Research

Page 8 of 17



Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

Owner:

and security.

40. Researchers should consider how data will be gathered, analysed, and managed, andhow

and in what form relevant data will eventually be made available to others, at an early stage of

the design of the project.

41. Researchers should collect data accurately, efficiently, and according to the agreed

design of the research project and ensure that it is stored in a secure and accessible form.

Incentives for participation in Research

Payments for research volunteers

42. The guidance for using incentives for participation in research is provided to support

researchers in adopting best practice when using incentives for research participants. Principal

Investigators conducting research involving human participants have a responsibility to treat

participants fairly and withrespect. Research participants may be reasonably remunerated for

their time, expenses and potential inconvenience while participati2.6 cwed for

tTJ0 Tc (s)-2 (pr)-5.9 (o)10.5 (v)-2sponsip (o)10.ou.4 (r)]uner renea.7 (pant)-6.6suppo-6.6 (±4s(k)(Tr))+T260.5T(c)

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

Owner: PVC Research

School.

45. Researchers should never deceive research participants about significant aspects that

would affect their willingness to participate, such as physical risks, discomfort, or unpleasant

emotional experiences.

46. Any other deception that is an integral feature of the design and conduct of an experiment

must be explained to participants as early as is feasible, preferably at the conclusion of their

participation, but no later than at the conclusion of the research.

Withdrawal from the study

47. At the outset of the study researchers should make it clear to participants that they have

the right to withdraw and stipulate clearly when they can withdraw from the study and withdraw

their data.

48. In the light of the experience of the research, or because of debriefing, the participants have

the right to withdraw retrospectively any consent given, and to require that their own data,

including recordings, be destroyed.

49. Researchers must take measures to honour all commitments they have made to research

participants.

Protection of participants

50. Researchers have a primary responsibility to protect participants from physical or mental

harm during the investigation. Normally the risk of harm must be no greater than in ordinary life

i.e., participants should not be exposed to risks greater than or additional to those encountered

in their normal lifestyles. Participants must be asked about any factors in the procedure that may

create a risk, such as pre-existing medical conditions, and must be advised of any special action

that they should take to avoid risk.

51. During the research, a researcher may obtain information about, or evidence of physical,

medical, or psychological problems of which the participant is unaware. In such a case, the

researcher has a duty to inform the participant if the researcher believes that by not so doing,

the participant's future well-being may well be endangered.

52. If during the research a participant solicits advice or help from the researcher, caution

should be exercised. If the issue is serious, and the researcher is not qualified to offerhelp, then

Page 11 of 17

Research Ethics Governance Framework

Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

the appropriate source of professional advice should be recommended.

53. Participants should be informed of procedures for contacting the researcher within a

reasonable time following participation, should stress, potential harm, or related questions, or

concerns arise despite the precautions required by these principles and guidelines. Where

research procedures might result in undesirable consequences for participants, the researcher

has the responsibility to detect and remove or correct these consequences.

54. Where research may involve behaviour or experiences that participants may regard as

personal and private, the participants must be protected from stress by all appropriatemeasures,

including the assurance that answers to personal questions need not be given. There should be

neither concealment nor deception when seeking information that encroaches on this privacy.

55. In conducting research with children, great caution should be exercised when discussing

the results with parents, carers, and teachers.

Research data confidentiality

56. Research participants have a right to remain anonymous. It applies to the collection of

databy means of cameras, tape recorders, and other data-gathering devices, as well as data

collected in face-to-face interviews or in participant-observation.

57. Research participants should understand the capacities of such devices; they should be

free to reject them if they wish. In the event that confidentiality cannot be assured to participants,

the participant must be warned of this prior to giving consent.

58. Researchers are expected to keep clear and accurate records of all results obtained

including primary data, interim results, and final outcomes, as well as the procedures followed, and

approvals granted.

59. Data should be stored securely in a paper and/or electronic format, as appropriate.

Researchers should consider the accessibility of relevant data and the format in which relevant

data will be made available to others. For data stored electronically a back-up should always be

kept.

60. Procedures must be established within each school or directorate for the retention of data,

and all researchers must comply with these procedures. Each directorate or school has its own

data retention schedule. Each school is responsible for providing enough space and other

Research Ethics Governance Framework

Version: 5.0 (March 2024) Owner: PVC Research Page 12 of 17



Procedures) Act 1986 - ASPA - regulates experimentation that is likely to cause distress

to non-human animals. Persons and institutions performing defined procedures under

licenses (issued by the Home Office) are immune from prosecution under the animal

cruelty laws. To obtain a license a range of home Office requirements must be met.

Researchers must be trained, and premises must be constructed and maintained to high

standards. Home Office inspectors can advise on whether a license is needed. Details of

the law on scientific researchand testing involving animals, and guidance on applying for

licenses may be found on the Home Office Website.

b. Replacement, reduction, and refinement will be sought wherever possible: This means

that University of Suffolk staff and students will show a respect for all life forms. Under

this well- established principle, replacement means that more sentient species should be

replaced by less sentient species or by non-animal alternatives wherever possible.

Reduction means that the minimum number of animals should be used (usually

achievable by careful experimental design and statistical analysis).

c. Husbandry of all non-human animals must show compliance with defined welfare

standards. The very public nature of any educational establishment means that confusion

must not arisebetween husbandry practices and experimental procedures. University of

Suffolk will respond to any concern about the welfare of the non-human animals in its care:

Given the sensitivity of research into animals other than humans, University of Suffolk

staff and students or members of the public with concerns about the welfare of non-human

animals at University of Suffolk will be able to raise these concerns directly with the

Research Ethics Committee.

Procedures

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024)

Owner: PVC Research

Page 14 of 17



75. Researchers should list the work of all contributors who do not meet the criteria for

authorship in an acknowledgements section.

76. Researchers must clearly acknowledge all sources used in their research and seek

permission from any individuals if a significant amount of their work has been used in the

publication.

77. Researchers must adhere to any conditions set by funding or other bodies regarding the

publication of their research and its findings in open access repositories within a set period.

78. Researchers should declare any potential or actual conflicts of interest in relation to their

research when reporting their findings at meetings or in publications.

79. Researchers should be aware that submitting research reports to more than one potential

publisher at any given time (i.e., duplicate submission) or publishing findingsin more than one

publication without disclosure and appropriate acknowledgement of any previous publications

(i.e., duplicate publication) is unacceptable.

80. Researchers who are discouraged from publishing and disseminating their research orits

findings or subjected to attempts to influence the presentation or interpretation of findings

inappropriately, should discuss this with the appropriate person(s) in their organisation so that

the matter can be resolved.

Useful Links

ARMA and UKRIO, 2020. Research Ethics Support and Review in Research Organisations

[online]. Available from: https://ukrio.org/publications/

Code of Practice for Research: Publication and authorship.

NHS Health Research Authority et al., 2020. UK Policy Framework for Health and Social Care

Research [online]. Available from: https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving

research/policies-standards-legislation/uk-policy framework-health-social-care-research/

●Rokkoleansociety and UK Research Integrity Offices,0 學報例付有數下序場。a页 Annother Pope Annother A

Research Ethics Governance Framework

Version: 5.0 (March 2024) Owner: PVC Research Page 16 of 17

- Universities UK et al., 2019. The Concordat to Support Research Integrity [online]. Available from: https://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Pages/the-concordat-for-research-integrity.aspx
- Vitae, 2019. The Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers [online].
 Available from: https://www.vitae.ac.uk/policy/concordat

Research Ethics Governance Framework Version: 5.0 (March 2024) Owner: PVC Research